accepted in some cases the date of birth as entered in the S.S.L.C. card and in some cases they have not accepted the date of birth as entered in the S.S.L.C. marks card. May I know as to why they have done like that?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—Perhaps the Hon'ble Member is referring to the last person in the list. At that time, the officer perhaps conveniently thought that it was to his advantage not to produce the S.S.L.C. certificate.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—Even after the S.S.L.C. marks card has been produced, may I know why the Government has not accepted?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—It was open to the officer at that time to produce the S.S.L.C. marks card. He never produced that evidence because he thought that it was not to his advantage. Now he is trying to re-open the case by producing some material. The Cabinet recently took a final decision in the matter.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—When once the date has been accepted by the appointing authority, is it open to the Government to come to a contrary decision?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—That question has been answered and printed, Sir.

Sri Y. VEERAPPA.—When the former cabinet had decided the matter, where was the necessity to revise it, Sir?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—On the basis of fresh evidence, it was open to the Government to revise its orders.

Sri Y. VEERAPPA.—Did not the former Cabinet decide considering all these aspects referred to by the Hon'ble Minister?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—In some cases, there was fresh evidence available and they were produced by the officers. On the basis of that, decisions were revised.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಎನ್. ಮೂಡುಲಗಿರಿಗೌಡ.—ಈ ವಿಷಯ ಒಂದು ಸಾರಿ ಕ್ಯಾಬಿನೆಟ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ತೀರ್ಮಾನವಾಗಿತ್ತಲ್ಲವೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಉತ್ತರ ಆಗಲೇ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಎನ್. ಮೂಡುಲಗಿರಿಗೌಡ.—ಒಂದು ಸಲ ತೀರ್ಮಾನವಾದಮೇಲೆ ಈ ಕೇಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಪುನಃ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆಗೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—Even that I have answered.

Sri S. RAMAPPA.—Has it come to the notice of the Government that this provision for change of date of birth is a very bad practice leading to favouritism and corruption, when the date of birth is there already in the S.S.L.C. marks card.

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—It is a matter of opinion.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—May I know if the Government asked these officers to produce the S.S.L.C. marks card to prove their date of birth?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—It was open to them to produce any evidence available.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Is there any Government order to the effect that when once the appointing authority accepts the date, it is not open for revision?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—I am not aware of any Government Order, Sir.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಜಿ. ನಂಜಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—ಹಳ್ಳಿಯಿಂದ ಬಂದಿರತಕ್ಕವರಿಗೆ ಅವರ ಪೋಷಕರು ಸರಿಯಾದ ವಯಸ್ಸನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲವೆನ್ನುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಹಳ್ಳಿಯಿಂದ ಬಂದವರು ಶಾಲಾ ಕಾಲೇಜುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ತಾರೀಖನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟರೆ ಆ ತಾರೀಖನ್ನೇ ನಿಜವೆಂದು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾದದ್ದು ಸಹಜವಾದುದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಂಠೂರು ರುದ್ರಪ್ಪ.—ಹುಟ್ಟಿದ ತಾರೀಖನ್ನು ಬದಲಾಯಿಸುವ ನಿಯಮವನ್ನು ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಯೇಟಿನಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ವಾಪಸ್ ಅನ್ವಯಿಸಲು ಕಾರಣವೇನು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟೇರಿಯಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ವಾಪಸ್ ಅನ್ವಯಿಸುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಮ್ಯಾನೇಜರು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಎಲ್ಲ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೂ ಅನ್ವಯಿಸುವಂತೆ ಈ ನೀತಿಯನ್ನು ಅವಲಂಬಿಸಲಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ.

---

## Revised Scales of Pay to the N.G.O.'s coming from Madras State.

Q.–1773. Sri Y. MANJAYA SHETTY (Baindur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) when did the revised scales as pay as per G.O. No. Fl. (B) 14031-14133—Bud. 119-56-3, dated 25th January 1957, come into force;

(b) whether the revised salary as per the abovesaid G.O. has been dis-

(SRI Y. MANJAYA SHETTY)

bursed to the N.G.O.'s coming from Madras State?

*A.*—Sri T. MARIAPPA (Minister for Finance).—

(*a*) From 1st January 1957.

(*b*) This arises when the officials concerned opt out to new scales of pay after equation of posts. To avoid hardship Government have ordered in December 1957 that Heads of Departments may fix the pay in revised scales in the case of Class IV servants, Second Division Clerks and Executive posts corresponding to these classes.

Sri Y. MANJAYA SHETTY.—May I know when the revised scales would be disbursed to other categories of Government servants and when final orders would be passed enabling them to draw revised scales of pay?

*Sri T. MARIAPPA.—When the equations will be over, they will certainly draw their revised pay. To obviate certain hardships, we have passed an order in December 1957 that Heads of Departments may fix the pay in revised scales in the case of Class IV servants, Second Division Clerks and Executive posts corresponding to these classes.

Sri Y. MANJAYA SHETTY.—What was the difficulty facing the Government to enable them to draw the revised scales of pay?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—Unless equation of posts has been finalised, it would be difficult to understand where these employees should be fixed in the new scales. Otherwise a lot of complications will arise. What is the amount they have to receive and at what stage they have to be fixed these can be settled when equation is settled.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—In response to this directive issued in December 1957, may I know how many of the Heads of Departments have acted and carried out the instructions contained therein?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—In the case of Class IV, Second Division Clerks and Executive Posts, the directive has been kept up. But my friend referred to other categories.

Sri Y. MANJAYA SHETTY.—When Second Division Clerks are permitted to draw the revised scales of pay, what was the difficulty to permit the first Division Clerks—in Madras, they are called as Upper Division Clerks—to draw the revised scales of pay?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—There was some difficulty. The question of equation of First Division clerks in Madras with our First Division has to be decided. That is why this small class of officials could afford to wait a little. After all, they will draw their accumulated arrears of pay also.

Sri Y. MANJAYA SETTY.—In anticipation of accumulation of arrears, they cannot go on contracting debts; so, will the Government expedite the matter so as to enable them to draw their revised scale of pay as early as possible?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—Sir, our officials are very prudent. They will never contract debts.

---

## Loss of Revenue to the District Board, Tumkur, due to introduction of Prohibition.

*Q.*—2287. Sri G. N. PUTTANNA (Tumkur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the total revenue of the District Board, Tumkur, from the excise cess prior to the introduction of prohibition in that district;

(*b*) the arrangement made by the Government to recoup or compensate the loss of excise cess or revenue to the District Board, Tumkur?

*A.*—Sri T. SUBRAMANYA (Minister for Law, Labour and Local Self-Government).—

(*a*) Rs. 85,931.

*b* Nil.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎನ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ.—85,931 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳ ಆದಾಯ ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗಲಾಯಿತು, ನಿಷೇಧದಿಂದ ಪರಿಹಾರವನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ?

* Asterisk indicates that the remarks or speeches have not been revised by the member concerned.